

# Index

- Abakan–Chernogorsk region, Khakassia, Siberia,  
alkaline coal mine drainage 287–90  
    analysis of drinking water at Byelii Yar opencast  
    mine 290  
    properties of typical coals from Minusinskii 289  
    sampling and analysis methods 288
- Abaza Magnetic Mine, Khakassia, Siberia, alkaline coal  
mine drainage 287–8, 290–1  
    chemical composition of pumped water 291  
    sampling and analysis methods 288
- acid mine drainage  
    Carnouls, France, arsenic 267–74  
    South Nottinghamshire Coalfield closure 99–104
- acid–base accounting (ABA) 145
- acidic spoil drainage  
    acidity values 252  
    Cleveland Ironstone Field 260–2  
    vestigial/juvenile acidity 139, 205
- Adrio Valley, SW Spain, Aznalcollar mine spill  
187–204
- Aitik mine, Sweden, mineral weathering rate  
prediction 151–5
- albite weathering 153–5  
    reactive surface area at field scale 143
- alkaline coal mine drainage  
    Siberia  
        Abakan–Chernogorsk region 287–90  
        Abaza Magnetic Mine 287–8, 290–1  
        Verzhina Ty%oi Magnetic Mine 287–8, 291–2  
    Svalbard, Longyearbyen 287–8, 292–5
- Altiplano aquifer complex, Bolivia 215–39
- anorthite weathering 153–5  
    reactive surface area at field scale 143
- aquifers overlying coal mines 17–45  
    hydraulic head drops 20–3  
    hydraulic tests 28–30, 34–5  
    transmissivities 22–3  
    water level recovery 23–4  
    *see also* longwall coal mining (UK and USA)
- Arctic region *see* Longyearbyen, Svalbard
- arsenic  
    acid mine drainage system, removal by oxidizing  
    bacteria 267–74  
    mine pit lakes 163  
    potable water limits 335  
    Spain, NW, Mieres, Asturias, pollution from mercury  
    and coal mine spoil in Morgao catchment 327–36
- Aznalcollar *see* Spain, SW
- bacteria, arsenic removal 267–74
- base flow index (BFI) 130
- berthierine 254
- biotite weathering 151–5  
    reactive surface area at field scale 143
- Black Clough discharge, Deerplay Colliery *see*  
Lancashire, UK
- Bolivia (San Jos, Mine, Oruro), contaminant  
sources 215–39  
    cross-section and site 218  
    geological and hydrogeological setting 219–23  
    bedrock 219  
    chloride concentrations 220  
    contour maps of aquifer complex 220, 222  
    sedimentary complex 219–23  
    groundwater chemistry in wells 237  
    mine water hydraulics 223–8  
    ingress of surficial waters 224  
    MIFIM model 223–6  
    mine flooding modelling 224–7  
    MODFLOW model, ground water leakage,  
    flooded mine 223–8  
    possible water outflows 224  
    void distribution 223  
    water influxes/inflows 223–4  
    mine water quality 228–33  
    composition of pumped mine water at Santa Rita  
    entrance 230–1  
    hydrochemical characteristics 228–9  
    results of analyses of water 232  
    speciation modelling 229–32  
    pumping rates from mine 219  
    risk assessment 234–6  
        leachate analyses 235  
        map of copper concentrations 236  
        mine wastes 233–4  
        risk source characterization 234  
        secondary mineral efflorescences 234
- Cantabrian zone, map 330
- Carnouls, Gard *see* France
- chalcopyrite weathering 147–55  
    reactive surface area at field scale 143
- chamosite 254
- chemical stratification, mine pit lakes 167
- Chile (Escondida Copper Mine), depressurization of  
north wall 107–19  
    conceptual model of groundwater flow 113–14  
    altered (argillic) porphyry 113–14  
    rhyolites 113  
    silicified Escondida porphyry 113  
    conceptual model of recharge mine 108  
    current pore pressures 110–13  
    depressurization system design 116–18  
    field programme and database 108–10  
    location plan 108  
    numerical modelling 114–16
- chloride plume migration, gold mine tailings  
dam 337–46
- Cleveland Ironstone Field, UK, pyritic rook strata in  
aquatic pollutant release 251–66  
    current mine water discharges 255–7  
    hydrochemistry 258, 260  
    known discharges of polluted mine drainage 256  
    metals 259  
    WATEQ4F modelling 261  
    data collection methods 252–3
- Eston Mine, major discharge 257–60
- mining history 253–5  
    geological framework 254  
    pollutant generation and attenuation  
    reactions 254  
    sketch map of area 253
- New Marske Mine, acidic spoil drainage 260–2

- Saltburn, new discharge 262–3  
 Skinningrove, two overflowing mines 263–4  
 clubmoss (*Lycopodium clavatum*) spores, underground  
 minewater tracing 52–3  
 Coal Measures  
 permeability values 66  
 Upper, Middle and Lower *see* South Wales Coalfield  
 coal mining, *see also* longwall coal mining  
 CODE-BRIGHT model, flow and heat 192–4  
 column, sulphide oxidation in unsaturated soil 189–90  
 ConSim model 234  
 contaminant sources  
 mine water pollution 138  
 vestigial/juvenile acidity 139, 205  
 contaminant transport, modelling 208–9  
 copper *see* Bolivia (San Jos, Mine); Chile (Escondida  
 Copper Mine); Sweden (Aittik Mine)  
 Cumbria, UK (Nenthead), abandoned mines as sinks for  
 pollutant metals 241–50  
 geological setting 243–4  
 map of Nent Valley, main inputs of  
 contaminants 242  
 mine water chemistry, absence of sinks  
 for zinc 249  
 other mineral sinks for zinc 245  
 Rampgill Mine 244–5  
 sampling methods 244  
 zinc concentration in River Nent 243  
 zinc deficits in Nent Valley mine waters 245–8  
 results of calculations 248  
 x-y plots showing lack of correlation between  
 zinc and sulphate 248–9
- deep mine voids, test pumping for assessment 315–26  
 Deerplay Colliery *see* Lancashire, UK  
 depressurization systems, design 116–18  
 dolomite 255  
 Donana National Park, SW Spain, Aznalcollar mine  
 spill 187–204  
 Durham County, UK, iron release from spoil  
 heap 205–14  
 conceptual model 209–13  
 contaminant sinks 210  
 contaminant sources 209–10  
 input parameters 210  
 laboratory and modelled iron and sulphate 211  
 long term sulphate concentrations 212  
 results and discussion 210–13  
 historical and geological overview 206  
 laboratory methods/results 206–8  
 location map 206  
 modelling methods 208–9  
 contaminant transport 208  
 oxygen diffusion 208  
 weathering reactions 208–9
- Durham County, UK, mine water recovery  
 records 64–7
- East Fife *see* Fife, UK  
 environmental impact, South Nottinghamshire Coalfield  
 closure 99–104  
 environmental impact assessment (EIA)  
 gold mining in Ghana 121–34  
 uranium mine, Slovak Republic 370  
 Escondida Copper Mine *see* Chile
- Eston Mine, Cleveland Ironstone Field, UK 257–60  
 European Commission, uranium mine liabilities,  
 Slovakia project 368  
 evapotranspiration, actual (AE) 127
- Ferrobacillus ferrooxidans* 209  
 Fife, UK, East Fife coalfield, monitoring mine water  
 recovery 62–4  
 Fife, UK, Frances Colliery, test pumping deep mine  
 voids 315–26  
 hydrogeochemistry 323–4  
 Piper diagram, plotting pumped mine water  
 chemistry 323  
 pumped mine water quality 323  
 hydrogeological results 317  
 calculation of Reynolds numbers (RE) for sub-  
 merged insets 319  
 plots of discharge against specific capacity 318  
 test pumping data 318  
 map of location 316  
 mine water levels 64  
 sampling and data collection 316–17  
 schematic diagram showing connection with adjacent  
 collieries 316  
 source of poor water quality 325  
 stratification within mine workings 324–5  
 diagram showing build-up 325  
 various determinands 324
- Fife, UK, Lochhead Colliery, mine water levels 64  
 fingerprinting minewater emissions, South Wales  
 Coalfield 275–86  
 flooded workings, mine water discharge  
 chemistry 379–90  
 flow measurement 252  
 fluorspar mine (Frazer's Grove, North Pennines, UK)  
 consequences of abandonment 245, 347–63  
 geological setting 348–50  
 geophysics 356  
 hydrochemistry 356–62  
 metal concentration data 359–61  
 hydrogeology 355–6  
 map of Great Limestone during/after  
 mining 357  
 lithological samples 355  
 map of location 348  
 methodology 350–2  
 mine description 352–5  
 geological succession 352  
 sketch diagram 351  
 monitoring locations 349, 350  
 water quality data 353–4
- fluorspar mine (Strassberg-Harz), underground  
 minewater tracing 49–57
- France (Carnouls, Gard), arsenic removal by oxidizing  
 bacteria 267–74  
 acid mine drainage system 268–72  
 mean values and SD, acidic waters at 40m and  
 1500m 270  
 seasonal variations in soluble and particulate As  
 concentrations 271  
 bio-oxidation 272–3  
 sampling and analytical methods 268  
 site description and map 268–9
- Frazer's Grove, North Pennines, UK *see* fluorspar mine

- Germany, Strassberg-Harz underground minewater tracing 49–57
- Ghana, gold mining, environmental impact assessment (EIA) 121–34
- geological map 122
  - hydrogeological data collection
    - appropriate phases of mineral exploration 133
    - geology 124–5
    - hydrogeochemistry 125
    - hydrology 125
    - pedology 124
    - physiography 124
    - use 132
  - Tarkwa 125–32
    - map 123
    - mean chemical characteristics summary 131
    - monthly water balance 128
    - soil test summary 126
    - Tarkwaian System 126–7
- gold mining *see* Ghana; South Africa, plume migration from gold mine tailings dam
- Guadimar River, SW Spain, Aznalcollar mine spill 187–204
- Hlobane Colliery *see* South Africa, post-closure water quality
- hydrological simulation program Fortran (HSPF) 303
- Illinois *see* longwall coal mining
- impact structure, Vredefort Dome 339
- iron
  - mine water discharge chemistry 387–9
  - release from spoil heaps, Country Durham 205–14
  - vestigial/juvenile acidity 139, 205
  - see also* pyrite
- iron ore bodies
  - pyritic roof strata 251–66
  - see also* Cleveland Ironstone Field; Durham
- juvenile acidity 139, 205
- Khakassia *see* Siberia
- Lancashire, UK (Deerplay Colliery), test pumping deep mine voids 315–26
  - hydrogeochemistry 323–4
    - Piper diagram 323
    - pumped mine water quality 323
  - hydrogeological modelling 319–23
    - contour plots, modelled groundwater levels, with/without conduits 320–1
    - diagram of conduit network 319
    - pumping rate and daily rainfall 322
  - hydrogeological results 317–19
    - plots, discharge vs specific capacity 318
    - test pumping data 317
  - map of location 316
  - sampling and data collection 316–17
  - schematic diagram of colliery and site of Black Chough discharge 317
  - stratification within mine workings 324–5
- lead–zinc mines(former)
  - Cumbria, UK (Nenthead), abandoned mines as sinks for pollutant metals 241–50
  - France, arsenic contamination 267–74
  - Frazer's Grove, North Pennines 245, 347–63
  - limestone-hosted metal mine *see* fluorspar mine (Frazer's Grove)
  - longwall coal mining, aquifer effects (UK)
    - Sherwood Sandstone, Selby Coalfield 75–88
      - background to study 76
      - data analysis 82–3
      - geology 77–9
      - groundwater abstraction at Unitriton-BOCM 81–2
      - hydrogeology 79–80
      - piezometer installation 81
      - results 83–6
      - site description 76–7
      - subsidence 80–1
  - longwall coal mining, aquifer effects (USA) 17–45
    - head drops 21–23
    - Jefferson County site, Illinois 26–33
      - geochemical changes 30
      - hydraulic tests 28–30
      - potentiometric changes 30
    - mechanisms of hydrogeological effects 17–24
      - deformation zones 18–19
      - drainage 17–18
      - permeability changes, previous field studies 20–1
      - subsidence 17, 18
    - Saline County site, Illinois 33–43
      - geochemical results 38–40
      - hydraulic tests 34, 35–7
      - potentiometric responses 34–5, 37–8
      - subsidence and strata deformation 33–4, 35
      - water level recovery after mining 23–4
  - Longyearbyen, Svalbard, alkaline coal mine drainage 287–8, 292–5
    - composition of three sampled waters 294
    - mine spoil leachate 293
    - pumped mine water 293–5
    - sampling and analysis methods 288
    - schematic cross-section of mine 293
  - Los Ruedos Mine, NW Spain, pollution from mercury and coal mine spoil in Morgao catchment 329–31
  - Lycopodium clavatum* spores, underground minewater tracing 52–3
  - LydiA technique (*Lycopodium clavatum*/microspheres), mine water tracing 52–6
  - Magnesian Limestones, Upper and Lower 79
  - mercury pollution, Morgao catchment, Spain, mining wastes 330–1
  - metal cations, mine pit lakes 163, 164
  - metal sulphide mines *see* alkaline coal mine drainage, Siberia and Svalbard
  - Mieres *see* Spain, NW
  - MIFIM model, mine water hydraulics 225–8
  - mine pit lakes, hydrogeochemical dynamics 159–85
    - chemical stratification 167
    - chemistry 161–73
      - arsenic variation 163
      - divalent metal cations variation 163
      - representative analysis 162
      - Younger diagram 163
    - concentration processes 173–5
    - conceptual model 160–1
    - deep mine voids test pumping for assessment 315–26

- geochemical controls 167–73
  - attenuation processes 171–3
  - grouping of minerals according to neutralization potential 172
  - release processes 169–71
  - stratified pit lakes 168
- geochemical processes 160
- geochemical trends over time 177–8
- geological controls 175–83
  - Nevada case study 179–83
- hydromorphic properties, vs natural lakes 160
- limnological processes 165–7
  - vs natural lakes 160, 165
- thermal stratification 166, 167
- mine wastes
  - mercury, Los Ruedos Mine, Spain 330–1
  - NW Spain, pollution from mercury and coal mine spoil in Morgao catchment 331–2
  - as risk source 233–6
  - Slovak Republic, Novoveska Huta, uranium mine 366
- mine water discharge chemistry 379–90
  - iron chemistry 387–9
    - ternary diagram comprising Fe, SO<sub>4</sub> and HCO 389
  - mine pit lakes 161–73
  - mine water characterization 381–6
    - discharge data for Wales, Scotland and County Durham 382–4
    - net-alkalinity vs Cl/c1 + SO<sub>4</sub> 386
    - Piper diagrams 381–6
  - names of mines/sources and times 381
  - proposal for modified classification scheme 386–7
  - summary of processes affecting discharge chemistry 380
- mine water discharges, list 381
- mine water inflow, general conceptual models 69–72
- mine water pollution
  - assessment by risk-based methods 139–44
    - RBCA guidelines 139–44
  - contaminant sources 138
  - mine wastes as risk source 233–6
  - sinks for metals (Cumbria) 241–50
  - South Nottinghamshire Coalfield closure 102–4
- mine water recovery in UK coalfields 61–73
  - modelling 66–72
    - area-related flow model 71
    - average permeability model 71–2
    - coal measures inflows 69
    - inflow data 68–72
    - logarithmic flow model 72
    - recovery curves 66–8
    - results 72
    - shaft water 68
    - shallow workings water 69
  - monitoring 61–6
    - dams 64–5
    - East Fife coalfield 62–4
    - mining connections, permeability 65–6
    - predicting mineral weathering rates 137–57
- mine water risk assessment *see* mine water pollution
- mine water tracing 47–60
  - artificial/natural tracers 49
  - Lydia technique (*Lycopodium clavatum/microspheres*) 52–6
  - sodium chloride 52
  - Strassberg-Harz underground mine 49–57
  - mine description 49–52
  - tracer amount 52
  - tracer sampling and analyses 52–3
  - tracer test aims 48
- mineral weathering rate prediction 137–57
  - Aitik mine, Sweden 151–5
    - laboratory vs field conditions and data 152
    - predicted vs measured weathering rates at meso-scale and field scale 154–5
    - scaling procedure, column and field rates from laboratory rates 152–4
    - site assessment 151–2
- aqueous chemical methods 144–50
  - batch reactors 144–7
  - column reactors 147–50
  - mine water risk assessment methodologies 145–6
  - solute mass flows from field sites 150
- extrapolation from laboratory to field scale 144, 150–5
- mine water pollution
  - assessment by risk-based methods 139–44
  - environmental risk 138–9
  - resolving scale dependence 150–5
  - scaling parameters 142–3
  - scaling weathering rates between similar field sites 143–4
- minerals, neutralization potential 172
- MINTEQA2 (US EPA) 233
- modelling
  - geochemical
    - Aitik mine, Sweden 151–5
    - aqueous chemical methods 144–50
    - Durham, iron release from spoil heap 208–9
    - Frances Colliery, Fife 315–26
    - Lancashire (Deerplay Colliery) 319–23
    - mine pit lakes, conceptual model 160–11
  - groundwater flow
    - alkaline coal mine drainage 287–91
    - Bolivia (San Jos, Mine, Oruro) 229–32
    - Chile (Escondida Copper Mine) 229–32
    - Cleveland Ironstone Field 261
    - conceptual models 113–14, 299–300, 307, 341
    - contaminant transport 208–9
    - mine water recovery in UK coalfields 66–72
    - plume migration from gold mine tailings dam 341–2
    - pyritic roof strata, aquatic pollutant release 261
    - SW Spain, Aznalcollar mine spill 189–202
    - water quality, speciation 229–32
- models
  - CODE-BRIGHT, flow and heat 192–4
  - ConSim 234
  - groundwater inflow 69–72
  - hydrological simulation program Fortran (HSPF) 303
  - MIFIM 223–6
  - MINTEQA2 (US EPA) 233
  - MODFLOW 114, 223, 226–8, 305, 342
  - MODPATH, particle tracking 234–6, 342
  - MT3DMS solute transport 342
  - SHETRAN/VSS-NET 93–5
  - WATEQ4F 356
  - see also* Piper diagram/plot
- MODFLOW model, simulation of ground water leakage from flooded mine 114, 223, 226–8, 305, 342
- MODPATH particle tracking model 234–6, 342

- Morgao catchment, Spain, pollution from mercury and coal mine spoil 327–36  
 MT3DMS solute transport model 342
- Nenthead *see* Cumbria, UK (Nenthead)
- Nevada, case study, mine pit lakes 179–83
- New Marske Mine, Cleveland Ironstone Field, UK 260–2
- North Pennines, UK  
   geology 243–4  
   map 242  
   *see also* fluorspar mine
- Nottinghamshire, South Nottinghamshire Coalfield closure 99–104
- Novoveska Huta *see* Slovak Republic
- oxygen diffusion, coefficient 208
- Packer hydraulic tests 28–30, 34–5, 110
- piezometers, installation 81
- Piper diagram/plot 381–6  
   calcium sulphate 281  
   mine water discharges 385  
   pumped mine water chemistry 323  
   water emissions, Upper/Lower Coal Measures strata 281, 282, 284
- plume migration  
   gold mine tailings dam 337–46  
   *see also* South Africa
- porphyry copper system, Escondida Copper Mine, Chile 113–14
- prediction of weathering rates *see* mineral weathering rates
- pyrite oxidation 287–8  
   Durham County 208–9  
   sulphide-containing ores, post-closure mine water, S Africa 297–314  
   SW Spain, Aznalcollar mine spill 187–204
- pyrite weathering 151–5  
   reactive surface area at field scale 143  
   *see also* iron
- pyritic roof strata, Cleveland Ironstone Field, UK 251–66
- Quaternary Altiplano aquifer complex, Bolivia 215–39  
   maps 220, 222
- radon, uranium mine, Slovak Republic 365–77
- Rampgil Mine, North Pennines, UK 244–5
- rebound *see* tin mine (South Crofty), Cornwall; fluorspar mine (Frazer's Grove, North Pennines)
- RETRASO, reactive transport model, sulphide oxidation in unsaturated soil 193–5
- rhodolites, Chile 113
- risk assessment *see* mine water pollution
- r'' merite 255
- Russian Federation *see* Siberia
- Saltburn, Cleveland Ironstone Field, UK 262–3
- Sherwood Sandstone, Selby Coalfield 79–80  
   *see also* longwall coal mining, aquifer effects (UK)
- SHETRAN/VSS-NET model, groundwater rebound 93–5
- Siberia, alkaline coal mine drainage  
   Abakan–Chernogorsk region 287–90  
   Abaza Magnetic Mine 287–8, 290–1  
   Vershina Ty%ei Magnetic Mine 287–8, 291–2  
   siderite 254  
   silver–tin mines *see* Bolivia  
   sinks for pollutant metals (Cumbria) 241–50  
   Skinningrove, Cleveland Ironstone Field, UK 263–4  
   Slovak Republic, Novoveska Huta, uranium mine liabilities 365–77  
     concentration data for radionuclides 373  
     environmental impact assessment (EIA) 372–3  
     geological and historical context 366–7  
     hydrogeological setting 367  
     liabilities and remediation measures 367–9  
     map of locations of adits and waste rock heaps 366  
     methodology, tiered data collection 368–9  
     occupancy times 373–4  
     recommendations to minimise health risks 375–6  
     results 374–5  
     site descriptions 369–73  
     overall approach for environmental impact assessment (EIA) 370  
     radiological map of Muran pit area 371  
     rock slides 370  
     uranium concentration values at each site 370
- smithsonite 250
- soil, sulphide oxidation 187–204
- South Africa, plume migration from gold mine tailings dam 337–46  
   flow model 342–3  
     E-W cross-section 343  
     steady-state hydraulic heads in 1998 model 343  
   flow system 339–40  
   geology and structure 338–9  
     map and section 338  
   hydrogeological regime 339–40  
   hydrostratigraphic units 339  
   methodology 337–8  
   numerical model 341–2  
     calibration 342  
     discretization 341–2  
   site locality 337  
   sources of contamination 340–1  
     conceptual model of hydraulic system 341  
   transport model 343–6  
     chloride plume migration (1998–2058) 344–5
- South Africa, post-closure coalmine water quality 297–314  
   assessment process 301–11  
   calculation of water and salt balances 303  
   data collection 301–2  
   flow into mined areas 303–4  
     water balances for tops and slopes of mountains 304  
   geochemical processes in mined areas 307–11  
     conceptual models 307  
     normalized modal distributions 309  
     predictive geochemical profiles 310  
   hydrogeology in mined area 304–7  
     calibration curves for discharges 306  
     water balance infiltration 305  
   impact of water discharges on receiving streams 311  
   source term 302  
   conceptual system models 299  
   physical description 298–9  
     map of locality 298  
   system geochemistry 301  
   system hydrology and hydrogeology 299–301

- conceptual flow model 300
- pre-mining and post-mining conditions 299–301
- water management strategies 311–13
  - annual hydrographs 312
  - impact on river water 313
  - plan for mine closure 297–8
  - reduction in river flow 312–13
- South Nottinghamshire Coalfield closure 99–104
  - borehole and shaft penetrations 101
  - environmental consequences 104
  - mine water risk evaluation 102–4
  - water balance 103
- South Wales Coalfield, Eastern sector, fingerprinting
  - mine water 275–86
    - methodology 277–8
      - map of river catchments in Eastern sector 278
  - Middle–Lower Coal Measures 277, 282
  - mining position 277
  - monthly sampling analysis 285–6
  - outline geology 276
  - presentation of data 278–85
    - bar charts 283, 285
    - Piper diagrams 281–4
  - Upper Coal Measures 276–7, 279–82
- Spain, NW, Mieres, Asturias, pollution from mercury
  - and coal mine spoil in Morgao catchment 327–36
    - characteristics of studied area 329
    - climate and precipitation 329
    - coal mining wastes 331–2
      - impoundments 329–32
        - schematic view of spoil heaps and drainage systems 332
    - geology and mineralization 329
      - map of Cantabrian zone 330
    - hydrogeology 332–3
  - Los Ruedos Mine 329–31
    - elements from mercury spoil heap 331
    - mercury mining wastes 330–1
  - map of study area and drainage basin 328
  - water movement 332–3
  - water quality 333–5
- Spain, SW, Aznalicollar mine spill, sulphide oxidation in
  - unsaturated soil 187–204
    - column experiment
      - leachates 188–90
        - mass fraction of each mineral in sludge 189
      - geochemical model 195–7
      - location of mine and area affected by sludge 188
    - modelling 189–202
      - results 197–202
    - reactive transport model 193–5
      - clayey-soil mixture 201
      - sandy-soil mixture 197
    - transient flow and heat transport model 192–3
      - clay-sludge mixture 194
      - sand-sludge mixture 193
- sphalerite 245
- spills *see* Spain, SW, Aznalicollar mine spill
- spoil drainage
  - Cleveland Ironstone Field 260–2
    - iron release 205–14
  - leachate, alkaline mine drainage 293
  - mine water discharge chemistry 379–90
  - pollution from mercury and coal mine, Spain 327–36
- Strassberg-Harz underground minewater tracing 49–57
  - possible tracers 48–9
- subsidence *see* longwall coal mining, aquifer effects (UK and USA)
- sulphate mass flow 143
- sulphide oxidation, SW Spain, Aznalicollar mine
  - spill 187–204
  - sulphide–water reactions, mine pit lakes 170
- sulphide-containing ores, post-closure mine water
  - quality, S Africa 297–314
- Summer Camp, Nevada, mine pit lakes 177–83
- Svalbard, Longyearbyen, alkaline mine drainage 287–8, 292–5
- Sweden, Aitik mine, mineral weathering rate
  - prediction 151–5
- tailings dam, plume migration 337–46
- Tarkwaian System, Tarkwa, hydrogeological data
  - collection 126–7
- test pumping, deep mine voids 315–26
- thermal stratification, mine pit lakes 166
- Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*
  - arsenic removal 267–74
  - iron oxidation 209
- tiered risk assessment, mine water pollution 139–42
- tin mines (Cornwall, UK)
  - Wheal Jane, water quality 90
    - see also* Bolivia
- tin mines (Cornwall, UK) groundwater rebound
  - model 89–97
    - calculation of infiltration 92
    - meteorological data 92
    - mine layout 91
    - relationship of pumping data with rainfall 92–3
    - SHETRAN/VSS-NET model 93–5
    - simulations 94–6
- transient flow and heat transport models, sulphide
  - oxidation in unsaturated soil 192–3
- transmissivities 22–3, 338
- uranium mine *see* Slovak Republic, Novoveska Huta
- Vershina Ty<sup>oi</sup> Magnetic Mine, Khakassia, Siberia,
  - alkaline mine drainage 287–8, 291–2
    - analyses of stream and pumped mine water 292
    - sampling and analysis methods 288
- Vredefort Dome, impact structure 339
- Vryheid Coalfield *see* South Africa, post-closure
  - coalmine water quality
- WATEQ4F model 356
- water *see* mine pit lakes; mine water discharge
  - chemistry; mine water tracing; mine water recovery
- West Rand *see* South Africa, plume migration from gold
  - mine tailings dam wetlands, constructed 206
- Whitemoor Common Fault 78–9
- Xray diffraction 355
- Younger diagram, chemistry of mine pit lakes 163
- zinc
  - Cumbria, UK (Nenthead), abandoned mines as sinks
    - for pollutant metals 241–50
    - deficits 245–8
    - potable water 241
  - see also* lead–zinc mines (former)